



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,275	07/02/2007	Aki Honda	0760-0356PUS1	2612
2292	7590	08/11/2010	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				SRIVASTAVA, KAILASH C
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1657				
NOTIFICATION DATE			DELIVERY MODE	
08/11/2010			ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/583,275	HONDA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Kailash C. Srivastava	1657	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Kailash C. Srivastava, Examiner. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Marc S. Weiner, Applicants' Representative. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 August 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Claims Amended on 07/02/2007, already of record.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

6-8

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kailash C Srivastava/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1657

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested clarification from the Representative re the dependency for Claims 7-8 since in the amendment filed 07/02/2007, Claim 6 has been cancelled and Claims 7-8 depend from the cancelled claim. The representative requested that the Examiner treat Claims 7-8 as if they depended from Claim 1. Examiner will mention this ambiguity for Claims 7-8.